

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/694,130	Applicant(s) HIROTA ET AL.	
	Examiner Elizabeth Quan	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Elizabeth Quan.

(2) Stephen P. Burr.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:

 Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Applicant's Representative that the Office Action mailed 12/23/2003 states: Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse. Therefore, Examiner will cancel withdrawn claims 1-6, 13, and 14 to place the claims in condition for allowance..